



**Final Environmental Impact Statement
and
Section 4(f) Evaluation**

September 2008

Submitted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c) and 49 U.S.C. 303

by

Federal Highway Administration
Utah Department of Transportation
Utah Transit Authority

FHWA-UT-EIS-07-02-F

*SP-0067(3)0

Volume 2 of 8

*Chapters 7
through 15*



Volume 2

Chapters and Chapter Appendices

- Chapter 7: Environmental Justice**
- Chapter 8: Transportation**
- Chapter 9: Economics**
Appendix 9A: Tables and Calculations in Support of the Economic Analysis
- Chapter 10: Joint Development**
Appendix 10A: Joint Development Correspondence
- Chapter 11: Considerations Relating to Pedestrians and Bicyclists**
- Chapter 12: Air Quality**
Appendix 12A: Draft Project-Level Conformity Determination for the Preferred Alternatives
- Chapter 13: Noise**
Appendix 13A: Barrier Mitigation Tables
Appendix 13B: Expected Transit Noise Impacts
Appendix 13C: Vibration
- Chapter 14: Water Quality**
- Chapter 15: Ecosystem Resources**
Appendix 15A: Ecosystems Correspondence
Appendix 15B: Wetland Calculations



List of Tables

Chapter 7 Tables

Table 7.3-1. Data on Minority Students within 0.5 Mile of the MVC Alternatives – Salt Lake County Portion of the Environmental Justice Impact Analysis Area 7-8

Table 7.3-2. Low-Income Service Providers within 0.5 Mile of the MVC Alternatives – Salt Lake County Portion of the Environmental Justice Impact Analysis Area 7-10

Table 7.3-3. Low-Income Students Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Lunch within 0.5 Mile of the MVC Alternatives – Salt Lake County Portion of the Environmental Justice Impact Analysis Area 7-12

Chapter 8 Tables

Table 8.3-1. Existing Congestion Levels for Key Road Segments in Salt Lake County (PM Peak Traffic Period) 8-5

Table 8.3-2. Existing Intersection Delay and Level of Service in Salt Lake County 8-7

Table 8.3-3. Existing Bus Routes in the Salt Lake County Portion of the Impact Analysis Area 8-8

Table 8.3-4. Existing Congestion Levels for Key Road Segments in Utah County (PM Peak Traffic Period) 8-11

Table 8.3-5. Existing Intersection Delay and Level of Service in Utah County 8-12

Table 8.3-6. Existing Bus Routes in the Utah County Portion of the Impact Analysis Area 8-12

Table 8.4-1. Congestion Levels for Key Road Segments under Existing Conditions and the No-Action Alternative (PM Peak Traffic Period) 8-16

Table 8.4-2. Intersection Delay and Level of Service under Existing Conditions and the No-Action Alternative 8-18

Table 8.4-3. 2030 Baseline Transit Routes under the No-Action Alternative 8-19

Table 8.4-4. 2030 Congestion Levels for Key Road Segments under the No-Action Alternative and Salt Lake County Action Alternatives 8-24

Table 8.4-5. 2030 Intersection Delay and Level of Service under the No-Action Alternative and Salt Lake County Action Alternatives 8-26

Table 8.4-6. 2030 Congestion Levels for Key Road Segments under the No-Action Alternative and Salt Lake County Action Alternatives with Tolling Option 8-29

Table 8.4-7. 2030 Intersection Delay and Level of Service under the No-Action Alternative and Salt Lake County Action Alternatives with Tolling Option 8-31

Table 8.4-8. 2030 Congestion Levels for Key Road Segments under the No-Action Alternative and Utah County Action Alternatives 8-36

Table 8.4-9. 2030 Intersection Delay and Level of Service under the No-Action Alternative and Utah County Action Alternatives 8-37

Table 8.4-10. 2030 Congestion Levels for Key Road Segments under the No-Action Alternative and Utah County Action Alternatives with Tolling Option 8-38

Table 8.4-11. 2030 Intersection Delay and Level of Service under the No-Action Alternative and Utah County Action Alternatives with Tolling Option 8-39

Table 8.4-12. Summary of Impacts to Transportation 8-43



Chapter 9 Tables

Table 9.2-1. Largest Businesses in the Economic Impact Analysis Area.....	9-3
Table 9.2-2. Trucking Companies in the Wasatch Front Urban Area	9-4
Table 9.3-1. Employment in Salt Lake County (1980–2030).....	9-5
Table 9.3-2. Employment in Salt Lake County by Employment Sector (1980–2030).....	9-7
Table 9.3-3. Revenues for Salt Lake County and Cities (Fiscal Year 2002).....	9-12
Table 9.3-4. Median Housing Values in Salt Lake County (2005)	9-13
Table 9.4-1. Employment in Utah County (1980–2030).....	9-14
Table 9.4-2. Employment in Utah County by Employment Sector (1980–2030)	9-16
Table 9.4-3. Revenues for Utah County and Cities (Fiscal Year 2002)	9-19
Table 9.4-4. Median Housing Values in Utah County (2005).....	9-20
Table 9.5-1. Municipal Property and Sales Tax Generation for Specific Land Uses in the Economic Impact Analysis Area, 2002 Dollars	9-27
Table 9.5-2. Reductions in Annual Property Taxes and Sales Taxes from the Salt Lake County Alternatives	9-33
Table 9.5-3. Estimated Congestion Delay Time and Economic Value in 2030, Salt Lake County.....	9-35
Table 9.5-4. Economic Benefit of Reduced Regional Traffic Congestion Due to the Salt Lake County Alternatives	9-40
Table 9.5-5. Estimated Congestion Delay Time and Economic Value in 2030, Salt Lake County, Tolling Option.....	9-42
Table 9.5-6. Economic Benefit of Reduced Regional Traffic Congestion Due to the Salt Lake County Alternatives, Tolling Option.....	9-43
Table 9.5-7. Estimated Congestion Delay Time and Economic Value in 2030, Utah County.....	9-50
Table 9.5-8. Economic Benefit of Reduced Regional Traffic Congestion Due to the Utah County Alternatives	9-51
Table 9.5-9. Reductions in Potential Annual Property Taxes and Sales Taxes from Developed Land under the Utah County Alternatives	9-53
Table 9.5-10. Estimated Congestion Delay Time and Economic Value of Tolling Options in 2030, Utah County.....	9-54
Table 9.5-11. Economic Benefit of Reduced Regional Traffic Congestion Due to the Utah County Alternatives, Tolling Option.....	9-55
Table 9.5-12. Summary of Impacts to Economic Resources.....	9-64
Table 9.5-13. Summary of Impacts to Economic Resources, Tolling Option	9-65

Chapter 11 Tables

Table 11.4-1. Existing Facilities in Salt Lake County within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives.....	11-4
Table 11.4-2. Proposed Facilities in Salt Lake County within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives	11-5
Table 11.5-1. Existing Facilities in Utah County within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives	11-8
Table 11.5-2. Proposed Facilities in Utah County within 0.5 Mile of the Proposed Alternatives.....	11-9
Table 11.6-1. Summary of Crossing Impacts from Pedestrian and Bicyclist Facilities for the Combined Salt Lake County and Utah County Alternatives.....	11-22



Chapter 12 Tables

Table 12.2-1. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)..... 12-2

Table 12.3-1. Air Quality Attainment Status for Transportation-Related Pollutants in the Air Quality Impact Analysis Area..... 12-9

Table 12.3-2. Summary of CO Monitoring Data for Salt Lake and Utah Counties 12-11

Table 12.3-3. Summary of PM₁₀ Monitoring Data for Salt Lake and Utah Counties..... 12-12

Table 12.3-4. Summary of PM_{2.5} Monitoring Data for Salt Lake and Utah Counties 12-13

Table 12.4-1. Average Daily Traffic Volumes for the Salt Lake County Roadway Alternatives in 2030..... 12-16

Table 12.4-2. Localized CO Hot-Spot Impacts at 5600 West Transit Station Locations 12-21

Table 12.4-3. Highest Modeled Concentrations of Carbon Monoxide along the MVC 12-23

Table 12.4-4. PM₁₀ Monitoring Data for Salt Lake and Utah Counties 12-26

Table 12.4-5. Comparison of Average Daily Traffic on the 5800 West Freeway Alternative and I-15 in North Salt Lake..... 12-27

Table 12.4-6. Regional Mesoscale Air Quality with the Salt Lake County Roadway Alternatives in 2030..... 12-30

Table 12.4-7. 2030 Daily Traffic Volumes on Roadways Parallel to the MVC..... 12-32

Table 12.4-8. Mobile-Source Air Toxics Emissions from the Salt Lake County Roadway Alternatives in 2030..... 12-39

Table 12.4-9. Greenhouse Gas Emissions (All Alternatives)..... 12-40

Table 12.4-10. Average Daily Traffic Volumes for the Utah County Alternatives in 2030..... 12-44

Table 12.4-11. Regional Mesoscale Air Quality with the Utah County Alternatives in 2030 12-45

Table 12.4-12. Mobile-Source Air Toxics Emissions from the Utah County Alternatives in 2030 12-46

Chapter 13 Tables

Table 13.2-1. Weighted Noise Levels and Human Response..... 13-2

Table 13.3-1. UDOT’s Noise-Abatement Criteria 13-4

Table 13.3-2. Land-Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria..... 13-6

Table 13.3-3. FTA Noise Impact Criteria..... 13-7

Table 13.4-1. Ambient Noise Levels in Salt Lake County 13-9

Table 13.4-2. Ambient Noise Levels in Utah County 13-10

Table 13.5-1. Summary of Noise Impacts..... 13-29

Chapter 14 Tables

Table 14.2-1. Designated Beneficial Uses for River Streams, Lakes, and Reservoirs in Utah..... 14-2

Table 14.2-2. Water Quality Regulations 14-5

Table 14.3-1. Surface Waters and Beneficial Uses in the Salt Lake County Portion of the Water Quality Impact Analysis Area 14-7

Table 14.3-2. Impaired Waters in the Salt Lake County Portion of the Water Quality Impact Analysis Area..... 14-8

Table 14.3-3. Drinking Water Sources within 0.5 Mile of the Salt Lake County Alternatives 14-10



Table 14.3-4. Surface Waters and Beneficial Uses in the Utah County Portion of the Water Quality Impact Analysis Area	14-11
Table 14.3-5. Impaired Waters in the Utah County Portion of the Water Quality Impact Analysis Area.....	14-12
Table 14.3-6. Drinking Water Sources within 0.5 Mile of the Utah County Alternatives	14-13
Table 14.4-1. Typical Highway Runoff Contaminants	14-16
Table 14.4-2. Event Mean Concentrations During Sampled Storm Events	14-17
Table 14.4-3. Percentages of Pollutants Removed by Detention Ponds.....	14-18
Table 14.4-4. Approximate TDS in Snowmelt Runoff Due to Anti-icing Operations	14-19
Table 14.4-5. Stream Crossings in Salt Lake County.....	14-25
Table 14.4-6. Beneficial Uses and Primary Pollutants in the Salt Lake County Portion of the Impact Analysis Area.....	14-27
Table 14.4-7. Modeled Water Quality in Barney’s Creek under the 5800 West Freeway Alternative with Dedicated Right-of-Way Transit Option.....	14-28
Table 14.4-8. Summary of Water Quality Impacts from the Salt Lake County Alternatives....	14-34
Table 14.4-9. Stream Crossings in Utah County	14-36
Table 14.4-10. Modeled Water Quality in the American Fork River under the Southern Freeway Alternative.....	14-37
Table 14.4-11. Modeled Water Quality in the Jordan River under the Arterials Alternative....	14-41
Table 14.4-12. Summary of Water Quality Impacts from the Utah County Alternatives	14-42
Table 14.4-13. Summary of Impacts to Water Quality	14-47

Chapter 15 Tables

Table 15.2-1. MVC Informal Consultation Process Status	15-2
Table 15.4-1. Wildlife Species and Habitat Types Evaluated	15-7
Table 15.4-2. Representative Wildlife Species That Use American Fork and Spring Creeks	15-16
Table 15.4-3. Federally Listed Species in the Ecosystem Impact Analysis Area (Salt Lake and Utah Counties).....	15-26
Table 15.4-4. State of Utah Species of Concern in the Ecosystem Impact Analysis Area (Salt Lake and Utah Counties)	15-27
Table 15.4-5. Wetlands in the Salt Lake County Portion of the Ecosystem Impact Analysis Area.....	15-30
Table 15.4-6. Wetlands in the Utah County Portion of the Ecosystem Impact Analysis Area.....	15-35
Table 15.5-1. Example of Calculating Herbaceous Cover Scores.....	15-48
Table 15.5-2. Sample Land-Use Types and Associated Wetland Functional Value Coefficients for Different Variables.....	15-52
Table 15.5-3. Sample Baseline FCI Scores for Salt Lake County.....	15-53
Table 15.5-4. Sample Baseline FCI Scores for Utah County	15-54
Table 15.5-5. High-Functioning Wetland Units in Salt Lake County	15-55
Table 15.5-6. High-Functioning Wetland Units in Utah County	15-56
Table 15.5-7. Species Addressed and Evaluation of Effects from the MVC Action Alternatives	15-65
Table 15.5-8. State of Utah Species of Concern in the MVC Study Area.....	15-66
Table 15.5-9. Impacts to Wildlife Habitat from the 5800 West Freeway Alternative Using Acres of Impact and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) Values.....	15-74



Table 15.5-10. Wildlife Habitat Fragmentation Impacts from the Salt Lake County Alternatives 15-75

Table 15.5-11. Total Acres of Wetland Impact from the Salt Lake County Alternatives 15-80

Table 15.5-12. Wetland Impacts Measured in Lost FCUs in Salt Lake County..... 15-81

Table 15.5-13. Impacts to High-Functioning Wetland Units in Salt Lake County 15-82

Table 15.5-14. Impacts to Playa Wetlands in Salt Lake County (acres) 15-83

Table 15.5-15. Impacts to Linear Aquatic Features in Salt Lake County (feet) 15-83

Table 15.5-16. Impacts from the 5800 West Freeway Alternative and Options on Habitat Suitability Values..... 15-86

Table 15.5-17. Summary of Wetland Impacts in Salt Lake County 15-87

Table 15.5-18. Impacts to Wildlife Habitat from the 7200 West Freeway Alternative Using Acres of Impact and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) Values 15-90

Table 15.5-19. Impacts from the 7200 West Freeway Alternative and Options on Habitat Suitability Values..... 15-96

Table 15.5-20. Summary of Impacts to Habitat Suitability Values from the Salt Lake County Alternatives 15-97

Table 15.5-21. Impacts to Wildlife Habitat from the Southern Freeway Alternative Using Acres of Impact and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) Values 15-101

Table 15.5-22. Wildlife Habitat Fragmentation Impacts from the Utah County Alternatives 15-103

Table 15.5-23. Total Acres of Wetland Impact from the Utah County Alternatives..... 15-108

Table 15.5-24. Wetland Impacts Measured in Lost FCUs in Utah County 15-109

Table 15.5-25. Impacts to High-Functioning Wetland Units in Utah County 15-110

Table 15.5-26. Impacts to Peteetneet Soils in Utah County (acres) 15-110

Table 15.5-27. Impacts to Linear Aquatic Features in Utah County (feet) 15-111

Table 15.5-28. Impacts from the Southern Freeway Alternative and Tolling Option on Habitat Suitability Values 15-112

Table 15.5-29. Summary of Wetland Impacts in Utah County 15-112

Table 15.5-30. Impacts to Wildlife Habitat from the 2100 North Freeway Alternative Using Acres of Impact and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) Values 15-115

Table 15.5-31. Impacts from the 2100 North Freeway Alternative and Tolling Option on Habitat Suitability Values 15-119

Table 15.5-32. Impacts to Wildlife Habitat from the Arterials Alternative Using Acres of Impact and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) Values 15-121

Table 15.5-33. Impacts from the Arterials Alternative and Tolling Option on Habitat Suitability Values..... 15-125

Table 15.5-34. Summary of Impacts to Habitat Suitability Values from the Utah County Alternatives 15-127

Table 15.5-35. Potential Salt Lake County Wetland Mitigation Sites..... 15-136

Table 15.5-36. Potential Utah County Wetland Mitigation Sites 15-137

Table 15.5-37. Summary of Impacts to Habitat Suitability Values from the Combined Alternatives 15-141

Table 15.5-38. Summary of Wetland Impacts from the Combined Alternatives 15-143



List of Charts

Chapter 9 Charts

Chart 9-1. Unemployment Rate in Salt Lake County (1998–2003) 9-6
 Chart 9-2. Unemployment Rate in Utah County (1998–2003)..... 9-15

Chapter 15 Charts

Chart 15-1. Summary of Wetland Impacts (acres) – Salt Lake County Alternatives 15-88
 Chart 15-2. Summary of Wetland Impacts (Functional Units) – Salt Lake County Alternatives 15-88
 Chart 15-3. Comparison of Habitat Suitability Values for the Salt Lake County Alternatives 15-98
 Chart 15-4. Summary of Wetland Impacts (acres) – Utah County Alternatives 15-113
 Chart 15-5. Summary of Wetland Impacts (Functional Units) – Utah County Alternatives... 15-113
 Chart 15-6. Comparison of Habitat Suitability Values for the Utah County Alternatives 15-128

List of Photos

Chapter 7 Photos

Photo 7-1. Public Input at the Talk Truck 7-5

List of Figures

No Figures

▲ ▲

This page is intentionally blank.

▼ ▼